



AGENDA
Public Safety Commission
In-Person Meeting with Public Electronic Access
October 11, 2021 6:30 p.m. Meeting
City Hall / City Council Chambers

- **Attend the meeting in Person:** Members of the public may attend the meeting in person. All attendees, regardless of vaccination status, are required to wear masks and comply with social distancing parameters.
- **Watch the meeting electronically:** To observe the meeting electronically, visit www.newbrightonmn.gov or tune into CTV Channel 8023 (CenturyLink) or Channel 16 (Comcast).
- **Join the meeting electronically:** If you need to interact with our officials or staff but are not comfortable attending the meeting in person, you may join the meeting electronically (no app needed) by visiting: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83423337636?pwd=TnNpcDFleVczTittY0hoYjhkY0gzZz09> or use your Zoom app to join by entering: Meeting ID: 834 2333 7636 and Passcode: 229022.

I. Call to Order

II. Roll Call

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chair Geoff Hollimon | <input type="checkbox"/> Commissioner Stephanie Kitzhaber |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vice Chair Karen Wagner | <input type="checkbox"/> Commissioner Leah Kuipers |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Commissioner Robert Boyd | <input type="checkbox"/> Commissioner Ache Wakai |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Commissioner Amina Ghouse | <input type="checkbox"/> Commissioner Jack Winkels |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Commissioner Dan Judd | |

III. Approval of Agenda

IV. Approval of September 13, 2021 Minutes

V. Reports and Updates

A. Allina Health – Dave Matteson

B. Public Safety Update – Tony Paetznick, Director of Public Safety

C. City Council Update – Graeme Allen, Councilmember

VI. Presentations and Business Items

A. Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) – Matt Farmer, Community Engagement Officer

VII. Adjournment

** A quorum of the City Council may be present.*



MINUTES
Public Safety Commission
September 13, 2021 City Hall
Council Chambers 6:30 p.m.

I. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Chair Hollimon.

II. Roll Call:

Members Present: Commissioners Robert Boyd, Amina Ghouse (arrived at 6:32 p.m.), Geoff Hollimon, Dan Judd, Karen Wagner (left the meeting at 6:35 p.m.), and Leah Kuipers.

Members Absent: Commissioners Stephanie Kitthaber, Ache Wakai and Jack Winkels.

Also Present: Director Tony Paetznick, Council Member Graeme Allen and Dave Matteson (Allina Health).

III. Approval of Agenda

Motion by Wagner, seconded by Kuipers to approve the September 13, 2021 agenda as presented. Motion carried 5-0.

IV. Approval of Minutes

Motion by Boyd, seconded by Wagner to approve the August 9, 2021 minutes as presented. Motion carried 5-0.

V. Presentations and Business Items

A. Police Body Worn Camera (BWC) Audit – Tony Paetznick, Public Safety Director

Director Paetznick stated an independent audit of the New Brighton Police Department's Portable Recording System (body-worn cameras (BWCs)) was conducted on May 25, 2021. The objective of the audit was to verify New Brighton Police Department's compliance with Minnesota Statutes §§13.825 and 626.8473. It was noted the New Brighton Police Department is located in Ramsey County, Minnesota and employs thirty (30) peace officers. The New Brighton Police Department utilizes Panasonic Arbitrator body-worn cameras and software and stores the BWC data on a local file server. The audit covers the time period from May 1, 2019, through May 10, 2021. The audit requirements were further reviewed in detail with the Commission and staff explained no discrepancies were noted.

Discussion included:

- Staff described how data requests can be made to the department. It was noted that body-worn camera footage was generally considered not public or private data and in some cases the footage was confidential.
- The differences between BWC footage and private video footage was described.
- The City's effort to have residents voluntarily register their private cameras throughout the community was discussed. It was noted it was completely voluntary for the public to provide private footage to the Public Safety Department.
- Staff discussed the type of training that was provided through BWC footage.
- The Commission questioned the amount of data that was collected by the department and staff noted this would have to be investigated further.
- Staff thanked the IT department for all of their assistance with the BWC program.
- The technological issues with the BWC's was discussed with the Commission and it was noted each officer within the department has their own BWC.

B. Traffic Stops – Tony Paetznick, Public Safety Director

Director Paetznick stated in addition to the annual review that occurred for the 2020 traffic stop data at the February 2021 Public Safety Commission meeting, staff looked further into a request from Commissioners to learn more about the outcomes of these interactions in terms of enforcement (i.e., warning, citation, arrest, etc.) and presented that information in April. Over the past month, significant action by government at the local, regional, and federal level have again highlighted the issue of law enforcement traffic stops. These include but are not limited to the release of 2020 United States Census Data, a prosecutorial policy related to nonpublic traffic stops as announced by the Ramsey County Attorney's Office, and the City of New Brighton's initial work on developing an Equity Framework, the latter of which most recently included an invitation for Commissioners to provide feedback on a draft Equity Statement. Given the new data and initiatives, Public Safety believes that it is timely to check-in with the Commission on this topic to review the additional information and further discuss procedural and/or policy changes related to traffic safety enforcement in the City of New Brighton. He provided further information on the driver diversion, driver's education and Lights On! programs. Staff recommended the Commission consider endorsing the driver diversion program, explore offering a free six-week driver's education class for adults who are learning English and engage in the Lights On! Program.

Discussion included:

- The recommendations from the County Attorney regarding drug and traffic stops were discussed with the Commission.
- The Commission appreciated the information provided regarding the new Lights On!, diversion and drivers education programs.
- Staff described how grants were applied for within the Public Safety Department.

Motion by Ghouse, seconded by Boyd to recommend the City of New Brighton endorse the driver diversion program, explore offering a free six-week driver's education class for adults who are learning English and engage in the Lights On! Program. Motion carried 5-0.

VI. Reports and Updates

A. Allina Health – Dave Matteson

Dave Mattson provided the Commission with an update from Allina Health. He discussed the staffing changes that have occurred at Allina and commented on the recent retirement of Gene Johnson, who founded the cardiac survivor network. Overall he reported he was down 30 EMT's at this time, but just started 10 new paramedics. He anticipated he would lose some employees from the COVID vaccine mandate. He described the presentation that would be given to the Roseville Police Department later this week. He provided an update on the number of COVID cases in the County and encouraged residents to get vaccinated. He discussed the challenges hospitals were facing right now with staffing and bed shortages. He commented on the positives and negatives from the automatic software routing system and noted he was still evaluating milage and fuel savings. Year to date calls for service was reviewed. Upcoming training efforts for EMT's was discussed, along with the potential benefits of holding tele-health calls with patients prior to heading to the hospital. Further discussion ensued regarding the ambulance shortage in Minnesota.

B. Public Safety Update – Director Paetznick

Director Paetznick provided the Commission with an update on the recent fire fighter hiring process. He stated all candidates would have to attend agility testing the first weekend in October. He explained the department has an active police hiring process as well, noting the department had two vacancies at this time. He indicated the SRO officers were back in schools. He commented the recently held catalytic convertor marking event was successful. He reported the National Night Out was a tremendous success again this year. He stated the City's website has up to date information on COVID for residents seeking information. He then discussed the County's Appropriate Response for Persons Initiative with the Commission. He indicated it may benefit the Commission to have a presentation from the ECC.

The Commission welcomed Officer Yang to the Public Safety Department.

C. City Council Update – Graeme Allen, Councilmember

Councilmember Allen provided the Commission with an update from the City Council. He stated the City Council would meet next on Tuesday, September 14 and would discuss the US Bank redevelopment and the Midtown Village park would be named. In addition, the preliminary budget and tax levy would be set at this meeting. The potential redevelopment efforts along Silver Lake Road were discussed. He reported cleanup day would be held on Saturday, September 18 at the Public Works Facility from 7:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. He explained at the recent council worksession the Equity Statement has been discussed and noted the Council was still soliciting feedback.

VII. Adjournment

Motion by Ghouse, seconded by Judd to adjourn the meeting at 8:28 p.m. Motion carried 5-0.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tony Paetznick". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "T" and a stylized "P".

Tony Paetznick
Director of Public Safety

New Brighton Department of Public Safety

Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV)



What is a UAV?

- Commonly referred to as a “drone”
- Consists of the aircraft, batteries, controllers and various payloads (i.e. cameras, lights, speakers and controllers)
- Approximately 100 UAV programs currently in MN



UAV Abilities

- Enhance situational awareness through data collection
 - Live stream video, still images, thermal data, distance measurement
- Communicate with victims/suspects through speaker
- Deliver items to victims/suspects via throwing hook attachment
- Allows for more thorough, efficient, and safe search and rescue operations, fire scene assessment and infrastructure inspection



Potential UAV Uses for the NBDPS

- Search and rescue
- Fire scene assessment and investigation
- Traffic accident reconstruction



Potential UAV Uses for the NBDPS (Cont.)

- Large area crime scene investigation
- Natural disaster response and damage assessment
- City infrastructure inspection and surveying
- City promotional media content



Legal Limitations on Use

- Per MN Statute 626.19
 - No facial recognition or other biometric-matching technology
 - (exception – search warrant)
 - No weapon systems
 - No data collection on public protests or demonstrations
 - (exceptions – search warrant, counter terrorism based on credible threats)



Other Legal and Policy Restrictions

- Use must comply with NBPD policy and procedures
- Must comply with FAA regulations
- Restricted use over private property



Annual Reporting

- Reported to the Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension (BCA)
 - Number of times UAV was deployed w/o warrant
 - Date of deployment
 - Authorized use for each deployment
 - Total cost of the agency's UAV program



Initial Steps

- Public comment
- Written policy and procedures
- Identify UAV's
 - Matrice 300
 - Mavic 2 Enterprise Advanced
- FAA Certification
- Pilot training

Matt Farmer

matt.farmer@newbrightonmn.gov

Office: 651-288-4159

Cell: 651-755-0051

Unmanned Aerial System (UAS) Operations

606.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

State

The purpose of this policy is to establish guidelines for the use of an unmanned aerial system (UAS) and for the storage, retrieval, and dissemination of images and data captured by the UAS (Minn. Stat. § 626.19).

606.1.1 DEFINITIONS

State

Definitions related to this policy include:

Unmanned Aerial System (UAS) - An unmanned aircraft of any type that is capable of sustaining directed flight, whether preprogrammed or remotely controlled without the possibility of direct human intervention from within or on the aircraft (commonly referred to as an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)), and all of the supporting or attached systems designed for gathering information through imaging, recording, or any other means (Minn. Stat. § 626.19).

606.2 POLICY

Best Practice

Unmanned aerial systems may be utilized to enhance the department's mission of protecting lives and property when other means and resources are not available or are less effective. Any use of a UAS will be in strict accordance with constitutional and privacy rights and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulations.

606.3 PRIVACY

Best Practice

The use of the UAS potentially involves privacy considerations. Absent a warrant or exigent circumstances, operators and observers shall adhere to FAA altitude regulations and shall not intentionally record or transmit images of any location where a person would have a reasonable expectation of privacy (e.g., residence, yard, enclosure). Operators and observers shall take reasonable precautions to avoid inadvertently recording or transmitting images of areas where there is a reasonable expectation of privacy. Reasonable precautions can include, for example, deactivating or turning imaging devices away from such areas or persons during UAS operations.

606.4 PROGRAM COORDINATOR

State

The Director of Public Safety will appoint a program coordinator who will be responsible for the management of the UAS program. The program coordinator will ensure that policies and procedures conform to current laws, regulations, and best practices and will have the following additional responsibilities:

New Brighton Department of Public Safety

Law Enforcement Policy Manual

Unmanned Aerial System (UAS) Operations

- Coordinating the FAA Certificate of Waiver or Authorization (COA) application process and ensuring that the COA is current.
- Ensuring that all authorized operators and required observers have completed all required FAA and department-approved training in the operation, applicable laws, policies, and procedures regarding use of the UAS.
- Developing uniform protocol for submission and evaluation of requests to deploy a UAS, including urgent requests made during ongoing or emerging incidents. Deployment of a UAS shall require written authorization of the Director of Public Safety or the authorized designee, depending on the type of mission.
- Developing protocol for conducting criminal investigations involving a UAS, including documentation of time spent monitoring a subject.
- Implementing a system for public notification of UAS deployment.
- Developing an operational protocol governing the deployment and operation of a UAS, including but not limited to safety oversight, use of visual observers, establishment of lost link procedures, and secure communication with air traffic control facilities.
- Developing a protocol for fully documenting all missions.
- Developing a UAS inspection, maintenance, and record-keeping protocol to ensure continuing airworthiness of a UAS, up to and including its overhaul or life limits.
- Developing protocols to ensure that all data intended to be used as evidence are accessed, maintained, stored, and retrieved in a manner that ensures its integrity as evidence, including strict adherence to chain of custody requirements. Electronic trails, including encryption, authenticity certificates, and date and time stamping, shall be used as appropriate to preserve individual rights and to ensure the authenticity and maintenance of a secure evidentiary chain of custody.
- Developing protocols that ensure retention and purge periods are maintained in accordance with established records retention schedules.
- Facilitating law enforcement access to images and data captured by the UAS.
- Recommending program enhancements, particularly regarding safety and information security.
- Ensuring that established protocols are followed by monitoring and providing periodic reports on the program to the Director of Public Safety.
- Developing protocols for reviewing and approving requests for use of the department UAS by government entities (Minn. Stat. § 626.19).
- Preparing and submitting the required annual report to the Commissioner of Public Safety (Minn. Stat. § 626.19).
- Posting the department policies and procedures regarding the use of UAV on the department website, as applicable (Minn. Stat. § 626.19).
- Reviewing the program and UAS use for compliance with Minn. Stat. § 626.19.

Unmanned Aerial System (UAS) Operations

606.5 USE OF UAS

State

Only authorized operators who have completed the required training shall be permitted to operate the UAS.

Use of vision enhancement technology (e.g., thermal and other imaging equipment not generally available to the public) is permissible in viewing areas only where there is no protectable privacy interest or when in compliance with a search warrant or court order. In all other instances, legal counsel should be consulted.

UAS operations should only be conducted during daylight hours, and a UAS should not be flown over populated areas without FAA approval.

Members shall not use a UAS without a search warrant, except (Minn. Stat. § 626.19):

- (a) During or in the aftermath of an emergency situation or disaster that involves the risk of death or bodily harm to a person.
- (b) Over a public event where there is a heightened risk to the safety of participants or bystanders.
- (c) To counter the risk of a terrorist attack by a specific individual or organization if the agency determines that credible intelligence indicates a risk.
- (d) To prevent the loss of life or property in natural or man-made disasters and to facilitate operation planning, rescue, and recovery operations.
- (e) To conduct a threat assessment in anticipation of a specific event.
- (f) To collect information from a public area if there is reasonable suspicion of criminal activity.
- (g) To collect information for crash reconstruction purposes after a serious or deadly collision occurring on a public road.
- (h) Over a public area for officer training or public relations purposes.
- (i) For purposes unrelated to law enforcement at the request of a government entity, provided the request is in writing and specifies the reason for the request and a proposed period of use.

606.6 PROHIBITED USE

Federal

The UAS video surveillance equipment shall not be used:

- To conduct random surveillance activities.
- To target a person based solely on actual or perceived characteristics such as race, ethnicity, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, economic status, age, cultural group, or disability.
- To harass, intimidate, or discriminate against any individual or group.
- To conduct personal business of any type.

New Brighton Department of Public Safety

Law Enforcement Policy Manual

Unmanned Aerial System (UAS) Operations

The UAS shall not be weaponized (Minn. Stat. § 626.19).

606.6.1 ADDITIONAL PROHIBITIONS

State

Unless authorized by a warrant, a UAS shall not be deployed with facial recognition or biometric-matching technology (Minn. Stat. § 626.19).

Unless authorized by a warrant or for purposes of a permitted use outlined in this policy, a UAS shall not be used to collect data on public protests or demonstrations (Minn. Stat. § 626.19).

606.7 RETENTION OF UAS DATA

State

The Records Section supervisor shall ensure that data collected by the UAS is disclosed or deleted as required by Minn. Stat. § 626.19, including the deletion of collected data as soon as possible, and in no event later than seven days after collection, unless the data is part of an active criminal investigation (Minn. Stat. § 626.19).

626.19 USE OF UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES.

Subdivision 1. **Application; definitions.** (a) This section applies to unmanned aerial vehicle data collected, created, or maintained by a law enforcement agency and to law enforcement agencies that maintain, use, or plan to use an unmanned aerial vehicle in investigations, training, or in response to emergencies, incidents, and requests for service. Unmanned aerial vehicle data collected, created, or maintained by a government entity is classified under chapter 13.

(b) For purposes of this section, the following terms have the meanings given:

(1) "government entity" has the meaning given in section 13.02, subdivision 7a, except that it does not include a law enforcement agency;

(2) "law enforcement agency" has the meaning given in section 626.84, subdivision 1;

(3) "unmanned aerial vehicle" or "UAV" means an aircraft that is operated without the possibility of direct human intervention from within or on the aircraft; and

(4) "terrorist attack" means a crime that furthers terrorism as defined in section 609.714, subdivision 1.

Subd. 2. **Use of unmanned aerial vehicles limited.** Except as provided in subdivision 3, a law enforcement agency must not use a UAV without a search warrant issued under this chapter.

Subd. 3. **Authorized use.** A law enforcement agency may use a UAV:

(1) during or in the aftermath of an emergency situation that involves the risk of death or bodily harm to a person;

(2) over a public event where there is a heightened risk to the safety of participants or bystanders;

(3) to counter the risk of a terrorist attack by a specific individual or organization if the agency determines that credible intelligence indicates a risk;

(4) to prevent the loss of life and property in natural or man-made disasters and to facilitate operational planning, rescue, and recovery operations in the aftermath of these disasters;

(5) to conduct a threat assessment in anticipation of a specific event;

(6) to collect information from a public area if there is reasonable suspicion of criminal activity;

(7) to collect information for crash reconstruction purposes after a serious or deadly collision occurring on a public road;

(8) over a public area for officer training or public relations purposes; and

(9) for purposes unrelated to law enforcement at the request of a government entity provided that the government entity makes the request in writing to the law enforcement agency and specifies the reason for the request and proposed period of use.

Subd. 4. **Limitations on use.** (a) A law enforcement agency using a UAV must comply with all Federal Aviation Administration requirements and guidelines.

(b) A law enforcement agency must not deploy a UAV with facial recognition or other biometric-matching technology unless expressly authorized by a warrant.

(c) A law enforcement agency must not equip a UAV with weapons.

(d) A law enforcement agency must not use a UAV to collect data on public protests or demonstrations unless expressly authorized by a warrant or an exception applies under subdivision 3.

Subd. 5. Documentation required. A law enforcement agency must document each use of a UAV, connect each deployment to a unique case number, provide a factual basis for the use of a UAV, and identify the applicable exception under subdivision 3 unless a warrant was obtained.

Subd. 6. Data classification; retention. (a) Data collected by a UAV are private data on individuals or nonpublic data, subject to the following:

(1) if the individual requests a copy of the recording, data on other individuals who do not consent to its release must be redacted from the copy;

(2) UAV data may be disclosed as necessary in an emergency situation under subdivision 3, clause (1);

(3) UAV data may be disclosed to the government entity making a request for UAV use under subdivision 3, clause (9);

(4) UAV data that are criminal investigative data are governed by section 13.82, subdivision 7; and

(5) UAV data that are not public data under other provisions of chapter 13 retain that classification.

(b) Section 13.04, subdivision 2, does not apply to data collected by a UAV.

(c) Notwithstanding section 138.17, a law enforcement agency must delete data collected by a UAV as soon as possible, and in no event later than seven days after collection unless the data is part of an active criminal investigation.

Subd. 7. Evidence. Information obtained or collected by a law enforcement agency in violation of this section is not admissible as evidence in a criminal, administrative, or civil proceeding against the data subject.

Subd. 8. Remedies. In addition to any other remedies provided by law, including remedies available under chapter 13, an aggrieved party may bring a civil action against a law enforcement agency to prevent or remedy a violation of this section.

Subd. 9. Public comment. A law enforcement agency must provide an opportunity for public comment before it purchases or uses a UAV. At a minimum, the agency must accept public comments submitted electronically or by mail. The governing body with jurisdiction over the budget of a local law enforcement agency must provide an opportunity for public comment at a regularly scheduled meeting.

Subd. 10. Written policies and procedures required. Prior to the operation of a UAV, the chief officer of every state and local law enforcement agency that uses or proposes to use a UAV must establish and enforce a written policy governing its use, including requests for use from government entities. In developing and adopting the policy, the law enforcement agency must provide for public comment and input as described in subdivision 9. The written policy must be posted on the agency's website, if the agency has a website.

Subd. 11. Notice; disclosure of warrant. (a) Within a reasonable time but not later than 90 days after the court unseals a warrant under this subdivision, the issuing or denying judge shall cause to be served on the persons named in the warrant and the application an inventory that shall include notice of:

(1) the issuance of the warrant or application;

(2) the date of issuance and the period of authorized, approved, or disapproved collection of information, or the denial of the application; and

(3) whether information was or was not collected during the period.

(b) A warrant authorizing collection of information with a UAV must direct that:

(1) the warrant be sealed for a period of 90 days or until the objective of the warrant has been accomplished, whichever is shorter; and

(2) the warrant be filed with the court administrator within ten days of the expiration of the warrant.

(c) The prosecutor may request that the warrant, supporting affidavits, and any order granting the request not be filed. An order must be issued granting the request in whole or in part if, from affidavits, sworn testimony, or other evidence, the court finds reasonable grounds exist to believe that filing the warrant may cause the search or a related search to be unsuccessful, create a substantial risk of injury to an innocent person, or severely hamper an ongoing investigation.

(d) The warrant must direct that, following the commencement of any criminal proceeding using evidence obtained in or as a result of the search, the supporting application or affidavit must be filed either immediately or at any other time as the court directs. Until the filing, the documents and materials ordered withheld from filing must be retained by the judge or the judge's designee.

Subd. 12. Reporting. (a) By January 15 of each year, each law enforcement agency that maintains or uses a UAV shall report to the commissioner of public safety the following information for the preceding calendar year:

(1) the number of times a UAV was deployed without a search warrant issued under this chapter, identifying the date of deployment and the authorized use of the UAV under subdivision 3; and

(2) the total cost of the agency's UAV program.

(b) By June 15 of each year, the commissioner of public safety shall compile the reports submitted to the commissioner under paragraph (a), organize the reports by law enforcement agency, submit the compiled report to the chairs and ranking minority members of the senate and house of representatives committees having jurisdiction over data practices and public safety, and make the compiled report public on the department's website.

(c) By January 15 of each year, a judge who has issued or denied approval of a warrant under this section that expired during the preceding year shall report to the state court administrator:

(1) that a warrant or extension was applied for;

(2) the type of warrant or extension applied for;

(3) whether the warrant or extension was granted as applied for, modified, or denied;

(4) the period of UAV use authorized by the warrant and the number and duration of any extensions of the warrant;

(5) the offense specified in the warrant or application or extension of a warrant; and

(6) the identity of the law enforcement agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.

(d) By June 15 of each year, the state court administrator shall submit to the chairs and ranking minority members of the senate and house of representatives committees or divisions having jurisdiction over data practices and public safety and post on the supreme court's website a full and complete report concerning the number of applications for warrants authorizing or approving use of UAVs or disclosure of information from the use of UAVs under this section and the number of warrants and extensions granted or denied under this section during the preceding calendar year. The report must include a summary and analysis of the data required to be filed with the state court administrator under paragraph (c).

History: 2020 c 82 s 5

NOTE: This section, as added by Laws 2020, chapter 82, section 5, is effective August 1, 2020, provided that the chief law enforcement officers adopt the written policy required under subdivision 10 no later than February 15, 2021. Laws 2020, chapter 82, section 5, the effective date.



Legislative Report

2020 Use of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles

June 15, 2021

Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension
1430 Maryland Ave. East
St. Paul, MN 55106
651-793-7000
<https://dps.mn.gov/divisions/bca>

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Background	3
Summary Data.....	4
Agency Type and Total Uses without a Search Warrant	4
Program Costs	4
By-Agency UAV Use.....	4
Agency Data Submissions.....	7
By-Agency UAV Use Reason	7
Agency Data Submission Form.....	12

Introduction

Laws of Minnesota 2020, Chapter 82, section 5, subdivision 12a-b requires all law enforcement agencies that maintain or use an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV), also known a drone, to report the following data by January 15 regarding the prior calendar year to the Minnesota Department of Public Safety (DPS):

- The number of times a UAV was deployed without a search warrant.
- The date of each deployment.
- The authorized use for each deployment (see [Laws of Minnesota 2020, Chapter 82](#), section 5, subdivision 3).
- The total cost of the agency's UAV program.

This report fulfills the further requirement that DPS compile the data into a report for the legislature to be posted on the department's website.

Background

Laws of Minnesota 2020, Chapter 82, was signed into law on May 16, 2020.

The BCA developed and provided a submittal form to law enforcement agencies in August 2020 that enables law enforcement agencies that maintain or use an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) to report the data required by statute in a uniform manner.

The information provided to and compiled by the Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension (BCA) in this report covers the reporting period January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020.

Summary Data

Agency Type and Total Uses without a Search Warrant

- Sheriff's Departments: 46
- Police Departments: 42
- Other (including DNR, State Patrol, BCA, Metro Transit): 5

Total agencies reporting: 93

Total Uses without a search warrant: 1,171

Program Costs

In 2020, Minnesota law enforcement agencies spent a total of \$922,410.71 on UAV agency programs. Information on individual agency costs are provided later in this report.

By-Agency UAV Use

Name of law enforcement agency	ORI Number	Cost of UAV program for calendar year	Number of times UAV used w/out warrant
Anoka County Sheriff	MN0020000	10,000.00	83
Arlington Police Department	MN0720100	0.00	2
Austin Police Department	MN0500100	43,172.23	8
Baxter Police Department	MN0180500	0.00	4
Becker County Sheriff	MN0030000	1,000.00	5
Beltrami County Sheriff	MN0040000	1,000.00	1
Benton County Sheriff	MN0050000	39,382.90	5
Big Lake Police Department	MN0710100	5,000.00	22
Bloomington Police Department	MN0270100	1,070.45	23
Blue Earth County Sheriff	MN0700000		26
Brown County Sheriff	MN0080000	13,000.00	2
Caledonia Police Department	MN0280100	0.00	0
Cambridge Police Department	MN0300100	0.00	0
Cannon River Drug and Violent Offender Task Force		1,436.00	19
Carlton County Sheriff	MN0090000	4,687.97	2
Cass County Sheriff	MN0110000	870.00	3
Chisago County Sheriff	MN0130000	8,459.00	27
Clay County Sheriff	MN0140000	995.00	1
Columbia Heights Police Department	MN0020400	46,400.00	0

Name of law enforcement agency	ORI Number	Cost of UAV program for calendar year	Number of times UAV used w/out warrant
Cook County Sheriff	MN0160000	0.00	0
Coon Rapids Police Department	MN0020500	8,200.00	17
Cottage Grove Police Department	MN0820700	473.98	53
Crow Wing County Sheriff	MN0180000	9,250.00	30
Crystal Police Department	MN0270400	11,000.00	5
Dakota County Sheriff	MN0190000	8,905.88	64
Douglas County Sheriff	MN0210000	2,250.00	9
Eagan Police Department	MN0190800	8,000.00	12
Eden Prairie Police Department	MN0272600	12,388.18	7
Edina Police Department	MN0270600	2,654.00	59
Fisher Police Department	MN0600600	0.00	0
Freeborn County Sheriff	MN0240000	1,720.00	11
Fridley Police Department	MN0020600	0.00	12
Golden Valley Police Department	MN0270800	15,000.00	3
Goodhue County Sheriff	MN0250100	0.00	10
Grand Rapids Police Department	MN0313000	0.00	0
Grant County Sheriff	MN0260000	0.00	4
Hennepin County Sheriff	MN0270000	21,600.00	8
Houston County Sheriff	MN0280000	46.50	1
Kandiyohi County Sheriff	MN0340000	7,218.00	2
Kenyon Police Department	MN0250400	0.00	0
Lac qui Parle County Sheriff	MN0370000	0.00	0
Lake County Sheriff	MN0380000	0.00	5
Le Sueur Police Department	MN0400200	0.00	0
Leech Lake Tribal Police Department		0.00	1
Lyon County Sheriff	MN0420000	0.00	0
Marshall County Sheriff	MN0450000	0.00	0
Marshall Police Department	MN0420100	30,360.00	1
McLeod County Sheriff	MN0430000	4,700.00	8
Metro Transit Police Department	MN0274300	0.00	0
Mille Lacs Tribal Police Department	MNDI02400	13,103.00	8
Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension	MNBCA0000	170,000.00	3
Minnesota Department of Natural Resources	MNCON0000		0
Minnesota State Patrol	MNMHP2000	9,796.00	28
Moorhead Police Department	MN0140400	0.00	1
Morrison County Sheriff	MN0490000	421.55	7
Nicollet County Sheriff	MN0520000	2,400.00	25
North St. Paul Police Department	MNO620700	0.00	0
Olmsted County Sheriff	MN0550000	5,000.00	6

Name of law enforcement agency	ORI Number	Cost of UAV program for calendar year	Number of times UAV used w/out warrant
Orono Police Department	MN0271500	8,448.58	77
Ottertail County Sheriff	MN0560000	3,500.00	10
Owatonna Police Department	MN0740100	5,000.00	5
Pequot Lakes Police Department	MN0181100	0.00	1
Plymouth Police Department	MN0271700	500.00	64
Polk County Sheriff	MN0600000	0.00	2
Pope County Sheriff	MN0610000	4,000.00	62
Prior Lake Police Department	MN0700900	0.00	7
Ramsey County Sheriff	MN0620000	71,981.26	7
Red Lake County Sheriff	MN0630000	0.00	0
Redwood County Sheriff	MN0640000	0.00	12
Renville County Sheriff	MN0650000	155.20	4
Rice County Sheriff	MN0660000	310.94	18
Rochester Police Department	MN0550100	6,285.54	6
Saint Cloud Police Department	MN0730400	18,276.00	34
Sauk Centre Police Department	MN0730500	500.00	15
Sauk Rapids Police Department	MN0050200	3,550.66	66
Scott County Sheriff	MN0700000	0.00	0
Sherburne County Sheriff	MN0710000	31,098.00	18
St. Louis County Sheriff	MN0690000	71,737.98	18
Stearns County Sheriff	MN0730000	23,944.00	2
Stillwater Police Department	MN0820600	0.00	1
Swift County Sheriff	MN0760000	0.00	0
Todd County Sheriff	MN0770000	29.49	30
Tracy Police Department	MN0420200	0.00	0
Upper Sioux Police Department	MNDI02300	46,000.00	2
Wadena County Sheriff	MN0800000	1,294.63	13
Waseca County Sheriff	MN0810000	38,269.11	8
Washington County Sheriff	MN8200000	53,442.70	14
West Hennepin Public Safety Department	MN0273700	0.00	0
White Earth Police Department	MNDI01900	0.00	0
Willmar Police Department	MN0340100	3,500.00	23
Winona County Sheriff	MN0850000	0.00	3
Woodbury Police Department	MN0821100	4,125.98	6
Wright County Sheriff	MN0860000	5,500.00	10
Total		922,410.71	1171

Agency Data Submissions

The remaining portion of this report contains the reason codes law enforcement agencies provided for incidents where a UAV was used without a warrant.

Reason Code	Reason
1	During or in the aftermath of an emergency situation that involves the risk of death or bodily harm to a person.
2	Over a public event where there is a heightened risk to the safety of participants or bystanders.
3	To counter the risk of a terrorist attack by a specific individual or organization if the agency determines that credible intelligence indicates a risk.
4	To prevent the loss of life and property in natural or man-made disasters and to facilitate operational planning, rescue, and recovery operations in the aftermath of these disasters.
5	To conduct a threat assessment in anticipation of a specific event.
6	To collect information from a public area if there is reasonable suspicion of criminal activity.
7	To collect information for crash reconstruction purposes after a serious or deadly collision occurring on a public road.
8	Over a public area for officer training or public relations purposes.
9	For purposes unrelated to law enforcement at the request of a government entity provided that the government entity makes the request in writing to the law enforcement agency and specifies the reason for the request and proposed period of use.

By-Agency UAV Use Reason

Law enforcement agency	Reason Code 1	Reason Code 2	Reason Code 3	Reason Code 4	Reason Code 5	Reason Code 6	Reason Code 7	Reason Code 8	Reason Code 9
Anoka County Sheriff's Office	39					40		4	
Arlington Police Department								1	1
Austin Police Department	1			4				2	1
Baxter Police Department	1					1		2	
Becker County Sheriff's Office	4					1			
Beltrami County Sheriff's Office	1								
Benton County Sheriff's Office				1		1	2	1	
Big Lake Police Department	8	1		1		3		9	
Bloomington Police Department	12						3	8	
Blue Earth County Sheriff's Office	6						2	7	11

Law enforcement agency	Reason Code 1	Reason Code 2	Reason Code 3	Reason Code 4	Reason Code 5	Reason Code 6	Reason Code 7	Reason Code 8	Reason Code 9
Brown County Sheriff's Office	1					1			
Caledonia Police Department									
Cambridge Police Department									
Cannon River Drug and Violent Offender Task Force	6					4		9	
Carlton County Sheriff's Office	2								
Cass County Sheriff's Office	2							1	
Chisago County Sheriff's Office	6				5	11	1	3	1
Clay County Sheriff's Office		1							
Colombia Heights Police Department									
Cook County Sheriff's Office									
Coon Rapids Police Department	4					1		12	
Cottage Grove Police Department	15			1		19		18	
Crow Wing County Sheriff's Office	18			1		10	1		
Crystal Police Department								5	
Dakota County Sheriff's Office	17			1	1	8	5	30	2
Douglas County Sheriff's Office	6					1	1	1	
Eagan Police Department	8					3		1	
Eden Prairie Police Department	3							4	
Edina Police Department	7							52	
Fisher Police Department									
Freeborn County Sheriff's Office	5	2		1				3	
Fridley Police Department	12								

Law enforcement agency	Reason Code 1	Reason Code 2	Reason Code 3	Reason Code 4	Reason Code 5	Reason Code 6	Reason Code 7	Reason Code 8	Reason Code 9
Golden Valley Police Department	1					1			1
Goodhue County Sheriff's Office	1	1				5		2	1
Grand Rapids Police Department									
Grant County Sheriff's Office	1			2					1
Hennepin County Sheriff's Office	5							3	
Houston County Sheriff's Office	1								
Kandiyohi County Sheriff's Office								2	
Kenyon Police Department									
Lac qui Parle County Sheriff's Office									
Lake County Sheriff's Office	2					3			
Le Sueur Police Department									
Leech Lake Tribal Police Department	1								
Lyon County Sheriff's Office									
Marshall County Sheriff's Office									
Marshall Police Department	1								
McLeod County Sheriff's Office	3					1	2	1	1
Metro Transit Police Department									
Mille Lacs Tribal Police Department	4					4			
Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension								3	
Minnesota Department of Natural Resources									
Minnesota State Patrol								28	

Law enforcement agency	Reason Code 1	Reason Code 2	Reason Code 3	Reason Code 4	Reason Code 5	Reason Code 6	Reason Code 7	Reason Code 8	Reason Code 9
Moorhead Police Department								1	
Morrison County Sheriff's Office						5		2	
Nicollet County Sheriff's Office	8			3		5	3	3	3
North St. Paul Police Department									
Olmsted County Sheriff's Office	1						1	4	
Orono Police Department								77	
Ottertail County Sheriff's Office	6	1		1		1			1
Owatonna Police Department		2				1		1	1
Pequot Lakes Police Department	1								
Plymouth Police Department	21	3				1	4	35	
Polk County Sheriff's Office								2	
Pope County Sheriff's Office	5						1	56	
Prior Lake Police Department	3					4			
Ramsey County Sheriff's Office	7								
Red Lake County Sheriff's Office									
Redwood County Sheriff's Office	1	1				3	1	3	3
Renville County Sheriff's Office	4								
Rice County Sheriff's Office	7					6		5	
Rochester Police Department						5	1		
Saint Cloud Police Department	19					4	4	7	
Sauk Centre Police Department	4			1		10			
Sauk Rapids Police Department	9			1		3		49	4

Law enforcement agency	Reason Code 1	Reason Code 2	Reason Code 3	Reason Code 4	Reason Code 5	Reason Code 6	Reason Code 7	Reason Code 8	Reason Code 9
Scott County Sheriff									
Sherburne County Sheriff's Office	2			1				15	
St. Louis County Sheriff's Office	8			2		3		5	
Stearns County Sheriff's Office	2								
Stillwater Police Department									1
Swift County Sheriff's Office									
Todd County Sheriff's Office	12			2		8	3	2	3
Tracy Police Department									
Upper Sioux Police Department	2								
Wadena County Sheriff's Office	6			3			1	2	1
Waseca County Sheriff's Office	1							7	
Washington County Sheriff's Office	8					1		5	
West Hennepin Public Safety Department									
White Earth Police Department									
Willmar Police Department	7			1		1	3	10	1
Winona County Sheriff's Office									
Woodbury Police Department	3							3	
Wright County Sheriff's Office	1					6			3
Totals	352	12	0	27	6	185	39	506	41

Agency Data Submission Form

Below is the form used by agencies for UAV data submissions to the BCA.

 UAV Agency Data Collection Form Minnesota Statutes §626.19
--

Please fill out this form as completely as possible.

Agency Information

Name of Law Enforcement Agency	Originating Agency Identifier (ORI)

UAV Program Data for Calendar Year

Calendar Year of Submission	Cost of UAV Program for Calendar Year	Number of Times UAV's Deployed Without Warrant

Uses of UAVs Without Warrant

For each deployment of a UAV without a search warrant, provide the following on the second page of this form:

- date of the deployment
- statutory reason for the deployment

If you require space for additional entries, submit additional copies of this form.

The reasons for a UAV deployment without a search warrant allowed by statute are provided below:

Reason Code	Reason
1	during or in the aftermath of an emergency situation that involves the risk of death or bodily harm to a person
2	over a public event where there is a heightened risk to the safety of participants or bystanders
3	to counter the risk of a terrorist attack by a specific individual or organization if the agency determines that credible intelligence indicates a risk
4	to prevent the loss of life and property in natural or man-made disasters and to facilitate operational planning, rescue, and recovery operations in the aftermath of these disasters
5	to conduct a threat assessment in anticipation of a specific event
6	to collect information from a public area if there is reasonable suspicion of criminal activity
7	to collect information for crash reconstruction purposes after a serious or deadly collision occurring on a public road
8	over a public area for officer training or public relations purposes
9	for purposes unrelated to law enforcement at the request of a government entity provided that the government entity makes the request in writing to the law enforcement agency and specifies the reason for the request and proposed period of use

This form must be submitted to the BCA no later than January 15 of each calendar year.

To submit the form, click the button below or email the completed form to BCA.UAV.Submit@state.mn.us.

Submit completed form to BCA via email

MINIS-P-5038
v1.01 (011221)

#	Deployment Date	Reason Code	#	Deployment Date	Reason Code	#	Deployment Date	Reason Code	#	Deployment Date	Reason Code
1		Sal ▼	26		Sal ▼	61		Sal ▼	76		Sal ▼
2		Sal ▼	27		Sal ▼	62		Sal ▼	77		Sal ▼
3		Sal ▼	28		Sal ▼	63		Sal ▼	78		Sal ▼
4		Sal ▼	29		Sal ▼	64		Sal ▼	79		Sal ▼
5		Sal ▼	30		Sal ▼	65		Sal ▼	80		Sal ▼
6		Sal ▼	31		Sal ▼	66		Sal ▼	81		Sal ▼
7		Sal ▼	32		Sal ▼	67		Sal ▼	82		Sal ▼
8		Sal ▼	33		Sal ▼	68		Sal ▼	83		Sal ▼
9		Sal ▼	34		Sal ▼	69		Sal ▼	84		Sal ▼
10		Sal ▼	35		Sal ▼	70		Sal ▼	85		Sal ▼
11		Sal ▼	36		Sal ▼	71		Sal ▼	86		Sal ▼
12		Sal ▼	37		Sal ▼	72		Sal ▼	87		Sal ▼
13		Sal ▼	38		Sal ▼	73		Sal ▼	88		Sal ▼
14		Sal ▼	39		Sal ▼	74		Sal ▼	89		Sal ▼
15		Sal ▼	40		Sal ▼	75		Sal ▼	90		Sal ▼
16		Sal ▼	41		Sal ▼				91		Sal ▼
17		Sal ▼	42		Sal ▼				92		Sal ▼
18		Sal ▼	43		Sal ▼				93		Sal ▼
19		Sal ▼	44		Sal ▼				94		Sal ▼
20		Sal ▼	45		Sal ▼				95		Sal ▼
21		Sal ▼	46		Sal ▼				96		Sal ▼
22		Sal ▼	47		Sal ▼				97		Sal ▼
23		Sal ▼	48		Sal ▼				98		Sal ▼
24		Sal ▼	49		Sal ▼				99		Sal ▼
25		Sal ▼	50		Sal ▼				100		Sal ▼

MNJS-F-5039
v1.01 (011221)

CRIMINAL ACTIVITY
PART I OFFENSES
 (Actual and Attempts)

MONTH OF: August 2021	Cases This Month	This Month Clearances	Cases Year-to-Date	Cases Last Year-to-Date
Homicide	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	2	2
Robbery	0	0	1	9
Agg. Assault	0	0	8	12
Burglary	4	1	39	40
Theft (includes shoplifting and bike)	53	2	354	265
Auto Theft	6	0	36	31
Arson	0	0	1	0
TOTALS	63	3	441	359

TRAFFIC ACTIVITY

	This Month	Year-to-Date	Last Year-to-Date
Motor Vehicle Crashes:	24	211	165
Property Damage	23	198	152
Personal Injury	1	13	13
Fatal	0	0	0
DWI	5	75	72
Parking Violations	6	616	139
Hazardous Moving Violations	14	236	269
Non-Hazardous Moving Violations	22	228	302
Traffic Stops – No Citation	87	892	893

MISCELLANEOUS POLICE ACTIVITY

	This Month	This Month Last Year	Year-to-Date	Last Year-to-Date
CFS by Complaint Number	871	883	6,654	6,283
CFS by Officers' Response	1,422	1,412	10,895	10,578
Adult Arrests (not including traffic)	20	32	224	228
Juvenile Arrests (not including traffic)	1	1	2	7
Warrant Arrests	5	5	33	32
Non-Traffic Citations	10	14	87	97

2021 Use of Force - By Month

	<u>#</u>	<u>YTD</u>
January	11	11
February	7	18
March	4	22
April	8	30
May	11	41
June	9	50
July	4	54
August	3	57
September		
October		
November		
December		

Use of Force Statistics

August

<u>Year</u>	<u># for Month</u>	<u>Year-to-Date</u>
2021	3	57
2020	4	46
2019	6	48
2018	4	31
2017	4	37



Preliminary Crime Stats for:

September 2021

Homicide	0
Rape	0
Robbery	1
Agg Assault	2
Burglary	9
Theft	40
Auto Theft	4
Arson	1
Total	57

Incident Type Report (Summary)

Incident Type	Total Incidents	Total Incidents % of Incidents	Total Property Loss	Total Content Loss	Total Loss
Incident Type Category: 1 - Fire					
111 - Building fire	2	6.1%	50,000	20,000	70,000
113 - Cooking fire, confined to container	6	18.2%			
132 - Road freight or transport vehicle fire	1	3.0%	1,000		1,000
151 - Outside rubbish, trash or waste fire	1	3.0%			
Total: 10	Total: 30.3%	Total: 51,000	Total: 20,000	Total: 71,000	
Incident Type Category: 3 - Rescue & Emergency Medical Service Incident					
322 - Motor vehicle accident with injuries	1	3.0%			
353 - Removal of victim(s) from stalled elevator	2	6.1%			
Total: 3	Total: 9.1%	Total: 0	Total: 0	Total: 0	
Incident Type Category: 4 - Hazardous Condition (No Fire)					
412 - Gas leak (natural gas or LPG)	1	3.0%			
444 - Power line down	2	6.1%			
445 - Arcing, shorted electrical equipment	1	3.0%			
460 - Accident, potential accident, other	1	3.0%			
Total: 5	Total: 15.2%	Total: 0	Total: 0	Total: 0	
Incident Type Category: 5 - Service Call					
5009 - Service Call, Keybox Request	1	3.0%			
531 - Smoke or odor removal	1	3.0%			
550 - Public service assistance, other	1	3.0%			
Total: 3	Total: 9.1%	Total: 0	Total: 0	Total: 0	
Incident Type Category: 6 - Good Intent Call					
611 - Dispatched and cancelled en route	2	6.1%			
651 - Smoke scare, odor of smoke	3	9.1%			
Total: 5	Total: 15.2%	Total: 0	Total: 0	Total: 0	
Incident Type Category: 7 - False Alarm & False Call					
714 - Central station, malicious false alarm	1	3.0%			
735 - Alarm system sounded due to malfunction	1	3.0%			
740 - Unintentional transmission of alarm, other	1	3.0%			
745 - Alarm system activation, no fire - unintentional	4	12.1%			
Total: 7	Total: 21.2%	Total: 0	Total: 0	Total: 0	
Total: 33	Total: 100.0%	Total: 51,000	Total: 20,000	Total: 71,000	

Report Filters

Basic Incident Date Time: is between '08/01/2021' and '08/31/2021'

Agency Name: is equal to 'NEW BRIGHTON'

Report Criteria

Incident Type (Fd1.21): Is Not Blank